

OFFICE OF THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Appointed under Right to Information Act, 2005)
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATIONAL PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION
17-B, SRI AUROBINDO MARG, NEW DELHI-110016

September 15, 2025

Ref. Appeal No. NUEPA/A/E/25/00005 dated: 12/08/2025

Sh. Sunit Singh
414 Bankata Jagdish,
Deoria,
Uttar Pradesh, Pin: 274703
Email: sunit.singh.4183@gmail.com

Appellant

Vs.

Central Public Information Officer
National Institute of Educational Planning & Administration
17-B, Sri Aurobindo Marg, New Delhi-110016

Respondent

ORDER

- (a) The appellant has filed first appeal No. Appeal No. NUEPA/A/E/25/00005 dated: 12.08.2025 Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with Response Ref. RTI No. NUEPA/R/E/25/00065 dated 15.07.2025 against the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) (hereinafter referred to as Respondent) of the NIEPA.
- (b) The submission in the RTI Appeal is "Provided incomplete, Misleading or False Information".
- (c) The Appellant has informed in the instance appeal that "I have applied for the PhD in NIEPA this year. Unfortunately, I have not been selected for the final list, which I do not question. I know I did not perform well in the interview. But I have some doubts regarding the admission process. 1. From the earlier declared schedule, the result was supposed to be announced on 27 June. But without prior notice, a second round of interviews was announced with 117 selected candidates. In the first round, 80 candidates were selected. This is very rare. 2. Most of the selected candidates in the final round are from the second round only. If the test weightage is 70%, then the students chosen from the first round should be greater or at least equal to that of the second round, as they must have scored higher than the second round interviewees in the test. 3. That is why I request to disclose the marks secured by students in the test and interview for all the candidates.
- (d) The appellant vide email dated September 08, 2025 was informed to appear for hearing in the office of the FAA on 11.09.2025.
- (e) The appellant neither respond to the email nor appeared for the hearing, hence the matter was decided ex-parte.
- (f) The reply of the Respondent (CPIO) in the instant appeal is as under:
 1. It is submitted that the information sought by the Applicant (Appellant here in after) in response to his RTI Application No. NUEPA/R/E/25/00065 dated 15.07.2025 was duly replied.



2. It is further submitted that the contents of RTI and Appeal should be the same and no if & buts, how & why will be answered in the Rtl & Appeal.

(g) This office has carefully considered the application, the response, the appeal and the records made available and the matter was decided based on the material available on record.

(h) The FAA agreed to the submissions made by the CPIO. The information or questions asked in the First Appeal are already replied to the appellant in his RTI reply.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

If the appellant is not satisfied with this order/ information provided, may file an appeal before Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg. Munirka, New Delhi-110067 within stipulated time.


Sd/-
(Surya Narayan Mishra)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to:

1. Sh. Sunit Singh
414 Bankata Jagdish,
Deoria,
Uttar Pradesh, Pin:274703
Email: sunit.singh.4183@gmail.com
2. Central Public information officer
National Institute of Educational Planning & Administration (NIEPA)
3. System Analyst, NIEPA– For publishing on the website